

**ON SUBCLASSES OF BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED
WITH THE RĂDUCANU-ORHAN DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR**

A. PATIL, U. NAIK

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain estimates on the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for the functions of certain new subclasses of the bi-univalent function class Σ defined on the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, which are associated with the Răducanu-Orhan differential operator. Moreover, connections to the earlier known results are indicated.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45.

Keywords: univalent function, bi-univalent function, bi-starlike function, differential operator, coefficient estimate.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{A} = \left\{ f : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is analytic in the unit disk } \mathbb{U}, f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1 \right\}$ be the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1)$$

and the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting the univalent functions in \mathbb{U} is denoted by \mathcal{S} . It is clear from the Koebe one quarter theorem (see [4]) that every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse f^{-1} , defined by

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, (z \in \mathbb{U}) \text{ and } f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, (|w| < r_0(f), r_0(f) \geq 1/4).$$

In fact, we have:

$$f^{-1}(w) = g(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots, \quad (2)$$

where g be an extension of f^{-1} to \mathbb{U} . A function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be bi-univalent in \mathbb{U} if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in \mathbb{U} . Let Σ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in \mathbb{U} given by (1).

For more details about the bi-univalent function class Σ , see Lewin [6], Netanyahu [7], Brannan and Clunie [2], Srivastava et al. [14] etc. Also Brannan and Taha [3], (see also [15]) introduced $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*[\alpha]$, the class of strongly bi-starlike functions of order α where $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*(\beta)$, the class of bi-starlike functions of order β where $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and found the estimates on the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for the functions in these subclasses. In recent investigations many researchers (viz. [5, 10, 13] etc.) introduced various subclasses of the function class Σ and obtained the non-sharp estimates on $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for the functions in these subclasses.

For $f(z)$ given by (1) and $j(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$, the Hadamard product or convolution is given by

$$(f * j)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \leq \mu \leq \delta$, $n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$; Răducanu and Orhan [11] introduced the following differential operator:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\delta\mu}^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ D_{\delta\mu}^1 f(z) &= D_{\delta\mu} f(z) = \delta\mu z^2 f''(z) + (\delta - \mu) z f'(z) + (1 - \delta + \mu) f(z), \\ D_{\delta\mu}^n f(z) &= D_{\delta\mu} \left(D_{\delta\mu}^{n-1} f(z) \right). \end{aligned}$$

See that, for the function f given by (1), this becomes:

$$D_{\delta\mu}^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} F_k(\delta, \mu, n) a_k z^k$$

or

$$D_{\delta\mu}^n f(z) = (f * j)(z),$$

where

$$j(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} F_k(\delta, \mu, n) z^k$$

and

$$F_k(\delta, \mu, n) = [1 + (\delta\mu k + \delta - \mu)(k - 1)]^n.$$

Observe that for $\mu = 0$ we get the Al-Oboudi differential operator (see [1]) and for $\mu = 0$, $\delta = 1$ we get the Sălăgean differential operator (see [12]).

The object of the present paper is to introduce the subclasses $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma^{\delta\mu}(n, \alpha, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^{\delta\mu}(n, \beta, \lambda)$ of the function class Σ , which are associated with the Răducanu-Orhan differential operator and to obtain estimates on $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for the functions in these new subclasses using similar techniques used by Srivastava et al.[14].

We need the following lemma (see [9]) to prove our main results.

Lemma 1. *If $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$, the Carathéodory class of analytic functions with positive real part in \mathbb{U} , then $|p_n| \leq 2$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where*

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + p_3z^3 + \dots, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 1. *A function $f(z)$ given by (1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\delta\mu}(n, \alpha, \lambda)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$f \in \Sigma, \quad \left| \arg \left\{ \frac{(1 - \lambda)D_{\delta\mu}^n f(z) + \lambda D_{\delta\mu}^{n+1} f(z)}{z} \right\} \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$$

$$(0 < \alpha \leq 1, 0 \leq \mu \leq \delta, \lambda \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$\left| \arg \left\{ \frac{(1 - \lambda)D_{\delta\mu}^n g(w) + \lambda D_{\delta\mu}^{n+1} g(w)}{w} \right\} \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$$

$$(0 < \alpha \leq 1, 0 \leq \mu \leq \delta, \lambda \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, w \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where the function g is given by (2).

Theorem 2. *If the function $f(z)$ given by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}^{\delta\mu}(n, \alpha, \lambda)$, then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{2\alpha[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)] - (\alpha - 1)[1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^{2n} [1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^2}} \quad (3)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\alpha^2}{[1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^{2n} [1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]}. \quad (4)$$

Proof. Definition 1 implies that we can write:

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda)D_{\delta\mu}^n f(z) + \lambda D_{\delta\mu}^{n+1} f(z)}{z} = [s(z)]^\alpha \quad (5)$$

and

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda)D_{\delta\mu}^n g(w) + \lambda D_{\delta\mu}^{n+1} g(w)}{w} = [t(w)]^\alpha, \quad (6)$$

where $s(z), t(w) \in \mathcal{P}$ such that:

$$s(z) = 1 + s_1z + s_2z^2 + s_3z^3 + \dots, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (7)$$

and

$$t(w) = 1 + t_1w + t_2w^2 + t_3w^3 + \dots, \quad (w \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (8)$$

Clearly, we have:

$$[s(z)]^\alpha = 1 + \alpha s_1z + \left[\alpha s_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} s_1^2 \right] z^2 + \dots$$

and

$$[t(w)]^\alpha = 1 + \alpha t_1w + \left[\alpha t_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} t_1^2 \right] w^2 + \dots$$

Also, using (1) and (2), we get:

$$\frac{(1-\lambda)D_{\delta\mu}^n f(z) + \lambda D_{\delta\mu}^{n+1} f(z)}{z} = 1 + [1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)] a_2 z + [1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)] a_3 z^2 + \dots \quad (9)$$

and

$$\frac{(1-\lambda)D_{\delta\mu}^n g(w) + \lambda D_{\delta\mu}^{n+1} g(w)}{w} = 1 - [1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)] a_2 w + [1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)] (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^2 + \dots \quad (10)$$

Now, equating the coefficients in (5) and (6), we obtain:

$$[1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)] a_2 = \alpha s_1, \quad (11)$$

$$[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)] a_3 = \alpha s_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} s_1^2, \quad (12)$$

$$- [1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)] a_2 = \alpha t_1, \quad (13)$$

$$[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)] (2a_2^2 - a_3) = \alpha t_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} t_1^2. \quad (14)$$

Using (11) and (13), we get:

$$s_1 = -t_1 \quad (15)$$

and

$$2[1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^{2n} [1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^2 a_2^2 = \alpha^2 (s_1^2 + t_1^2). \quad (16)$$

Adding (12) in (14) and then using (16), we obtain:

$$a_2^2 = \frac{\alpha^2(s_2 + t_2)}{\left\{ 2\alpha[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n[1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)] - (\alpha - 1)[1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^{2n}[1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^2 \right\}}$$

Now, by using Lemma 1, this gives:

$$|a_2^2| \leq \frac{4\alpha^2}{\left\{ 2\alpha[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n[1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)] - (\alpha - 1)[1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^{2n}[1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^2 \right\}}$$

which proves the result (3). Next, for the estimate on $|a_3|$, subtracting (14) from (12) in light of (15), we get:

$$a_3 - a_2^2 = \frac{\alpha(s_2 - t_2)}{2[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n[1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]}$$

This by using (16), becomes:

$$a_3 = \frac{\alpha^2(s_1^2 + t_1^2)}{2[1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^{2n}[1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^2} + \frac{\alpha(s_2 - t_2)}{2[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n[1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]}$$

Finally, by using Lemma 1, we get:

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\alpha^2}{[1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^{2n}[1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n[1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]}$$

which is the desired result (4). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Definition 2. A function $f(z)$ given by (1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^{\delta\mu}(n, \beta, \lambda)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma, \quad \Re \left\{ \frac{(1 - \lambda)D_{\delta\mu}^n f(z) + \lambda D_{\delta\mu}^{n+1} f(z)}{z} \right\} > \beta$$

$$(0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 \leq \mu \leq \delta, \lambda \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{(1 - \lambda)D_{\delta\mu}^n g(w) + \lambda D_{\delta\mu}^{n+1} g(w)}{w} \right\} > \beta$$

$$(0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 \leq \mu \leq \delta, \lambda \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, w \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where the function g is given by (2).

Note that in Definition 1 and Definition 2, by putting $\mu = 0$ we obtain the classes $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma(\delta, n, \alpha, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\delta, n, \beta, \lambda)$ introduced by Patil and Naik [8]; by putting $\mu = 0, \delta = 1$ we obtain the classes $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma(n, \alpha, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(n, \beta, \lambda)$ introduced by Porwal and Darus [10]; by putting $\mu = 0, \delta = 1, n = 0$ we obtain the classes $\mathcal{B}_\Sigma(\alpha, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\beta, \lambda)$ introduced by Frasin and Aouf [5] and by putting $\mu = 0, \delta = 1, n = 0, \lambda = 1$ we obtain the classes $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma(\beta)$ introduced by Srivastava et al. [14].

Theorem 3. *If the function $f(z)$ given by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^{\delta\mu}(n, \beta, \lambda)$, then*

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1 - \beta)}{[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]}} \quad (17)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4(1 - \beta)^2}{[1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^{2n} [1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^2} + \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]}. \quad (18)$$

Proof. Definition 2 implies that there exists $s(z), t(w) \in \mathcal{P}$ such that:

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda)D_{\delta\mu}^n f(z) + \lambda D_{\delta\mu}^{n+1} f(z)}{z} = \beta + (1 - \beta) s(z) \quad (19)$$

and

$$\frac{(1 - \lambda)D_{\delta\mu}^n g(w) + \lambda D_{\delta\mu}^{n+1} g(w)}{w} = \beta + (1 - \beta) t(w), \quad (20)$$

where $s(z)$ and $t(w)$ are given by (7) and (8) respectively.

See that we have equations (9), (10) and also:

$$\beta + (1 - \beta) s(z) = 1 + (1 - \beta) s_1 z + (1 - \beta) s_2 z^2 + \dots$$

and

$$\beta + (1 - \beta) t(w) = 1 + (1 - \beta) t_1 w + (1 - \beta) t_2 w^2 + \dots$$

Now, equating the coefficients in (19) and (20), we obtain:

$$[1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]a_2 = (1 - \beta)s_1, \quad (21)$$

$$[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]a_3 = (1 - \beta)s_2, \quad (22)$$

$$- [1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]a_2 = (1 - \beta)t_1, \quad (23)$$

$$[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)](2a_2^2 - a_3) = (1 - \beta)t_2. \quad (24)$$

Using (21) and (23), we obtain:

$$s_1 = -t_1$$

and

$$2[1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^{2n} [1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^2 a_2^2 = (1 - \beta)^2 (s_1^2 + t_1^2). \quad (25)$$

Adding (22) in (24), we obtain:

$$2[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]a_2^2 = (1 - \beta)(s_2 + t_2)$$

or

$$a_2^2 = \frac{(1 - \beta)(s_2 + t_2)}{2[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]}.$$

This by using Lemma 1, gives:

$$|a_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]},$$

which gives the desired result (17). Next, subtracting (24) from (22), we obtain:

$$2[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)](a_3 - a_2^2) = (1 - \beta)(s_2 - t_2)$$

or

$$a_3 = a_2^2 + \frac{(1 - \beta)(s_2 - t_2)}{2[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]}.$$

Using (25), this becomes:

$$a_3 = \frac{(1 - \beta)^2 (s_1^2 + t_1^2)}{2[1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^{2n} [1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^2} + \frac{(1 - \beta)(s_2 - t_2)}{2[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]}.$$

This by using Lemma 1, yields:

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4(1 - \beta)^2}{[1 + (2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^{2n} [1 + \lambda(2\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^2} + \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{[1 + 2(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]^n [1 + 2\lambda(3\delta\mu + \delta - \mu)]},$$

which is the desired result (18). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

3. CONCLUSIONS

- If we put $\mu = 0$ in Theorem 2 and Theorem 3; we obtain Theorem 5 and Theorem 7 given by Patil and Naik [8].
- If we put $\mu = 0$ and $\delta = 1$ in Theorem 2 and Theorem 3; we obtain Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1 given by Porwal and Darus [10].
- If we put $\mu = 0$, $\delta = 1$ and $n = 0$ in Theorem 2 and Theorem 3; we obtain Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 3.2 given by Frasin and Aouf [5].
- If we put $\mu = 0$, $\delta = 1$, $n = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 2 and Theorem 3; we obtain Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 given by Srivastava et al.[14].

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Al-Oboudi, *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator*, Int. J. Math. and Math. sci. 27 (2004), 1429-1436.
- [2] D. Brannan, J. Clunie, *Aspects of Contemporary Complex Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, London, (1980).
- [3] D. Brannan, T. Taha, *On some classes of bi-univalent functions*, in: S. Mazhar, A. Hamoui, N. Faour (Eds.), *Mathematical Analysis and its Applications*, Kuwait; February 18-21, 1985, in: KFAS Proceedings Series, vol. 3, Pergamon Press, Elsevier Science Limited, Oxford, 1988, pp. 53-60; see also *Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math.*, 31, 2 (1986), 70-77.
- [4] P. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer, New York (1983).
- [5] B. Frasin, M. Aouf, *New subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011), 1569-1573.
- [6] M. Lewin, *On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 63-68.
- [7] E. Netanyahu, *The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in $|z| < 1$* , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 32 (1969), 100-112.
- [8] A. Patil, U. Naik, *On new subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with Al-Oboudi differential operator*, Int. J. Pure and Appl. Math. 110, 1 (2016), 143-151.
- [9] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen (1975).

- [10] S. Porwal, M. Darus, *On a new subclass of bi-univalent functions*, J. Egypt. Math. Soc. 21, 3 (2013), 190-193.
- [11] D. Răducanu, H. Orhan, *Subclasses of analytic functions defined by a generalized differential operator*, Int. J. Math. Anal. 4, 1-2 (2010), 1-15.
- [12] G. Sălăgean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, in: Complex Analysis- Fifth Romanian Finish Seminar, Bucharest, 1 (1983), 362-372.
- [13] H. Srivastava, D. Bansal, *Coefficient estimates for a subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, J. Egypt. Math. Soc. 23, 2 (2015), 242-246.
- [14] H. Srivastava, A. Mishra, P. Gochhayat, *Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. 23 (2010), 1188-1192.
- [15] T. Taha, *Topics in Univalent Function Theory*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of London, London (1981).

Amol B Patil
Department of First Year Engineering,
AISSMS's, College of Engineering,
Pune-411001, India
email: *amol223patil@yahoo.co.in*

Uday H Naik
Department of Mathematics,
Willingdon College,
Sangli-416415, India
email: *naikpawan@yahoo.com*