

APPLICATIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATION ON CERTAIN
CLASS OF MEROMORPHIC P-VALENT FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH
CERTAIN INTEGRAL OPERATOR

R. M. EL-ASHWAH AND M. K. AOUF

ABSTRACT. By making use of the principle of differential subordination, we investigate some interesting properties of certain subclasses of p -valent meromorphic functions which are defined by certain integral operator.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 30C45.

Keywords and phrases : Meromorphic functions, p -valent, integral operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Sigma_{p,n}$ denote the class of meromorphically multivalent functions $f(z)$ of the form:

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (n > -p; p, n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}) \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disc $U^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}$, we denote for $\Sigma_{p,1-p}$ by Σ_p .

For two functions f and g analytic in U , we say that f is subordinate to g , and write $f \prec g$ in U or $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in U$), if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, which is analytic in U with

$$w(0) = 0 \text{ and } |w(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in U),$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)) \quad (z \in U).$$

It is known that

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Rightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subset g(U),$$

Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in U , then (see, [5], p.4),

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subset g(U).$$

Let $\varphi(r, s; z) : \mathbb{C}^2 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $h(z)$ be univalent in U . If $p(z)$ is analytic in U and satisfies the first order differential subordination:

$$\varphi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \prec h(z) \tag{1.2}$$

then $p(z)$ is a solution of the differential subordination (1.2). The univalent function $q(z)$ is called a dominant of the solutions if $p(z) \prec q(z)$ for all $p(z)$ satisfying (1.2). A univalent dominant \tilde{q} that satisfies $\tilde{q} \prec q$ for all dominants of (1.2) is called the best dominant (see [5]).

For functions $f_j(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$, given by

$$f_j(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k \quad (j = 1, 2), \tag{1.3}$$

we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} z^k = (f_2 * f_1)(z).$$

For $p \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha > 0, \lambda \geq 0$ and $f \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ given by (1.1), we define the following integral operator

$$J_{p,\alpha}^0 f(z) = f(z)$$

$$J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z) = \frac{\alpha^\lambda}{z^{\alpha+p}\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^z \left(\log \frac{z}{t}\right)^{\lambda-1} t^{\alpha+p-1} f(t) dt \quad (\lambda > 0; z \in U),$$

and

$$J_{p,\alpha} f(z) = J_{p,\alpha}^1 f(z) = \frac{\alpha}{z^{\alpha+p}} \int_0^z t^{\alpha+p-1} f(t) dt \quad (z \in U).$$

Using the elementary integral calculation, it is easy to verify that

$$J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha}{k+p+\alpha}\right)^\lambda a_k z^k \quad (\alpha > 0, \lambda \geq 0), \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$J_{p,\alpha} f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha}{k+p+\alpha}\right) a_k z^k \quad (\alpha > 0). \tag{1.5}$$

For the general integral operator $J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z)$, it is not difficult to deduce from (1.4) that

$$z \left(J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z) \right)' = \alpha J_{p,\alpha}^{\lambda-1} f(z) - (\alpha + p) J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z), \quad (\lambda \geq 1). \quad (1.6)$$

We note that

- (i) For $n = 0$, $J_{p,1}^\lambda f(z) = P_p^\lambda f(z)$ (Aqlan et al. [1]);
- (ii) $J_{1,1}^m f(z) = J^m f(z)$ (Uralegaddi and Somanatha [8]);
- (iii) $J_{1,\alpha}^\lambda f(z) = P_\alpha^\lambda f(z)$ ($\alpha > 0, \lambda > 0$) (Lashin [3]);
- (iv) $J_{1,\alpha}^1 f(z) = J_\alpha f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha}{k+\alpha+1} \right) a_k z^k$ ($\alpha > 0$).

Now we introduce the following subclass of $\Sigma_{p,n}$ associated with the integral operator $J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda$.

Definition 1. For fixed parameter A, B ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$) a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ is said to be in the class $\Sigma_{p,n}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, A, B)$ if

$$-\frac{z^{p+1}}{p} \left\{ (1 - \beta)(J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z))' + \beta(J_{p,\alpha}^{\lambda-1} f(z))' \right\} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad (1.7)$$

where $p \in \mathbb{N}, \beta \geq 0, \alpha > 0$ and $\lambda \geq 1$.

In the present paper, we derive some subordination results for the function class $\Sigma_{p,n}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, A, B)$ and investigate various other properties of functions belonging to the class $\Sigma_{p,n}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, A, B)$. Relevant connections of the results presented in this paper with those obtained in earlier works are also pointed out.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Lemma 1 [2]. Let $h(z)$ be analytic and convex (univalent) in U , $h(0) = 1$, and let

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + c_{p+n} z^{p+n} + \dots \quad (2.1)$$

be analytic in U . If

$$\varphi(z) + \frac{1}{\delta} z \varphi'(z) \prec h(z),$$

then for $\delta \neq 0$ and $Re \delta \geq 0$

$$\varphi(z) \prec \psi(z) = \frac{\delta}{p+n} z^{-\frac{\delta}{p+n}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\delta}{p+n}-1} h(t) dt \quad (z \in U) \quad (2.2)$$

and ψ is the best dominant of (2.2).

Denote by $P(\gamma)$ the class of functions $\varphi(z)$ given by

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots,$$

which are analytic in U and satisfy the following inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re}(\varphi(z)) > \gamma \quad (0 \leq \gamma < 1; z \in U).$$

We note that $P(0) = P$.

Lemma 2 [4]. *Let the function $\varphi(z)$, given by (2.1), be in the class P . Then*

$$\operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} \geq \frac{1 - |z|}{1 + |z|} \quad (z \in U).$$

Lemma 3 [7]. *For $0 \leq \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < 1$,*

$$P(\gamma_1) * P(\gamma_2) \subset P(\gamma_3) \quad (\gamma_3 = 1 - 2(1 - \gamma_1)(1 - \gamma_2)).$$

For real or complex numbers a, b, c ($c \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$), the Gaussian hypergeometric function is defined by

$${}_2F_1(a, b, c; z) = 1 + \frac{ab}{c} \frac{z}{1!} + \frac{a(a+1)b(b+1)}{c(c+1)} \frac{z^2}{2!} + \dots .$$

We note that the above series converges absolutely for $z \in U$ and hence represents an analytic function in the unit disc U (see, for details, [9, Chapter 14]).

Each of the identities (asserted by Lemma 3 below) is fairly well known (cf., e.g., [9, Chapter 14]).

Lemma 4 [9]. *For real or complex parameters a, b, c ($c \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^-$),*

$$\int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}(1-tz)^{-a} dt = \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)}{\Gamma(c)} {}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) \quad (\operatorname{Re}(c) > \operatorname{Re}(b) > 0), \quad (2.3)$$

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = (1-z)^{-a} {}_2F_1(a, c-b; c; \frac{z}{z-1}), \quad (2.4)$$

$${}_2F_1(1, 1; 2; \frac{1}{2}) = 2\ln 2, \quad (2.5)$$

and

$${}_2F_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\alpha_2 + 1}{2})}. \quad (2.6)$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Unless otherwise mentioned we shall assume throughout the paper that $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha, \beta > 0$, $\lambda \geq 1$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$.

Theorem 1. *If $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, A, B)$, then*

$$-\frac{z^{p+1}}{p}(J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z))' \prec Q(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, \quad (3.1)$$

where the function $Q(z)$ given by

$$Q(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1+Bz)^{-1} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1, \frac{\alpha}{\beta(p+n)} + 1; \frac{Bz}{1+Bz}\right) & (B \neq 0) \\ 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta(p+n) + \alpha}Az & (B = 0) \end{cases}$$

is the best dominant of (3.1). Furthermore

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(-\frac{z^{p+1}}{p}(J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z))' \right)^{1/k} > \rho^{1/k} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}; z \in U), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\rho(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1-B)^{-1} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1, \frac{\alpha}{\beta(p+n)} + 1; \frac{B}{B-1}\right) & (B \neq 0) \\ 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\beta(p+n) + \alpha}A & (B = 0). \end{cases}$$

The inequality in (3.2) is the best possible.

Consider the function $\varphi(z)$ defined by

$$\varphi(z) = -\frac{z^{p+1}}{p}(J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z))' \quad (z \in U). \quad (3.3)$$

Then $\varphi(z)$ is analytic in U with $\varphi(0) = 1$. Applying the identity (1.6) in (3.3) and differentiating the resulting equation with respect to z , we get

$$-\frac{z^{p+1}}{p} \left\{ (1-\beta)(J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z))' + \beta(J_{p,\alpha}^{\lambda-1} f(z))' \right\} = \varphi(z) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}z\varphi'(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}.$$

Now by using Lemma 1 for $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{z^{p+1}}{p}(J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z))' \prec Q(z) \\ & = \frac{\alpha}{\beta(p+n)}z^{-\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta(p+n)}\}} \int_0^z t^{\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta(p+n)}\}-1} \left(\frac{1+At}{1+Bt} \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + \left(1 - \frac{A}{B}\right) (1 + Bz)^{-1} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1, \frac{\alpha}{\beta(p+n)} + 1; \frac{Bz}{1 + Bz}\right) & (B \neq 0), \\ 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\beta(p+n)+\alpha} Az & (B = 0), \end{cases}$$

by change of variables followed by the use of identities (2.3) and (2.4) (with $b = \frac{\alpha}{\beta(p+n)}$ and $c = b + 1$). This proves assertion (3.1) of Theorem 1.

Next, in order to prove the assertion (3.2) of Theorem 1, it suffices to show that,

$$\inf_{|z| < 1} \{Re(Q(z))\} = Q(-1). \tag{3.4}$$

Indeed, for $|z| \leq r < 1$,

$$Re\left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}\right) \geq \frac{1 - Ar}{1 - Br} \quad (|z| \leq r < 1).$$

Upon setting

$$G(s, z) = \frac{1 + Asz}{1 + Bsz}$$

and

$$d\nu(s) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta(p+n)} s^{\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta(p+n)} - 1\}} ds \quad (0 \leq s \leq 1),$$

which is a positive measure on the closed interval $[0,1]$, we get

$$Q(z) = \int_0^1 G(s, z) d\nu(s),$$

so that

$$Re\{Q(z)\} \geq \int_0^1 \frac{1 - Asr}{1 - Bsr} d\nu(s) = Q(-r) \quad (|z| \leq r < 1).$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$ in the above inequality, and using the aid of the elementary inequality

$$Re(w^{\frac{1}{k}}) \geq (Re(w))^{\frac{1}{k}} \quad (Re(w) > 0; k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

the estimate (3.2) follows.

Finally, the estimate in (3.2) is the best possible as the function $Q(z)$ is the best dominant of (3.1)

Putting $\beta = \frac{\sigma\alpha}{1-2\sigma}$ ($0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$) in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *If $f \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ satisfies*

$$\frac{z^{p+1} \left[(1 + \sigma(p-1))(J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z))' + \sigma z(J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z))'' \right]}{p(1-2\sigma)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

then

$$-\frac{z^{p+1}}{p} \left[(J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z))' \right] \prec Q^*(z) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz},$$

where the function $Q^*(z)$ given by

$$Q^*(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + (1 - \frac{A}{B})(1 + Bz)^{-1} {}_2F_1(1, 1, \frac{1-2\sigma}{\sigma(p+n)} + 1; \frac{Bz}{Bz+1}) & (B \neq 0) \\ 1 - \frac{1-2\sigma}{1-2\sigma+\sigma(p+n)}Az & (B = 0), \end{cases}$$

is the best dominant . Furthermore,

$$Re \left(-\frac{z^{p+1}}{p} (J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z))' \right) > \rho^*(z \in U)$$

$$\rho^*(\sigma, A, B) = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{B} + \left(1 - \frac{A}{B}\right) (1 - B)^{-1} {}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; \frac{1-2\sigma}{\sigma(p+n)} + 1; \frac{B}{B-1}\right) & (B \neq 0) \\ 1 - \frac{1-2\sigma}{1-2\sigma+\sigma(p+n)}A & (B = 0). \end{cases}$$

The result is the best possible.

Remark 1. Putting $\lambda = 0$ and $p = 1$ in Corollary 1, we obtain the result obtained by Patel and Sahoo [6, Theorem 1].

Putting $A = 1 - 2\delta$ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$), $B = -1$ and $\beta = \alpha$ in Theorem 1, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2. If $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$Re \left\{ -\frac{z^{p+1}}{p} \left[(2+p) (J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z))' + z(J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z))'' \right] \right\} > \delta \quad (0 \leq \delta < 1; z \in U)$$

then

$$Re \left\{ -\frac{z^{p+1}}{p} (J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z))' \right\} > 1 + 2(1 - \delta) \left[\frac{1}{2} {}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; \frac{1}{p+n} + 1; \frac{1}{2}\right) - 1 \right] \quad (z \in U).$$

The result is the best possible.

Remark 2. Putting $p = 1$ and $n = 0$ in Corollary 2, we obtain the result obtained by Lashin [3, Corollary 2].

Taking $A = 1 - 2\delta$ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$), $B = -1$ and $\beta = 2\alpha$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3. If $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ satisfies the following inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ -\frac{z^{p+1}}{p} [(5 + 2(p-1)) (J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z))' + 2z(J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z))''] \right\} > -\frac{\pi-2}{4-\pi} \quad (z \in U),$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ -\frac{z^{p+1}}{p} (J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z))' \right\} > \left(-\frac{\pi}{4-\pi} \right) + \left(\frac{2}{4-\pi} \right) {}_2F_1 \left(1, 1; \frac{1}{(p+n)} + 1; \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (z \in U).$$

The result is the best possible.

Remark 3 Putting $p = 1$ and $n = 0$ in Corollary 3, we obtain the result obtained by Lashin [3, Corollary 3].

Theorem 2. If $f(z) \in \Sigma_{p,n}$ satisfies

$$z^p \left[(1 - \beta) J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z) + \beta J_{p,\alpha}^{\lambda-1} f(z) \right] \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

then

$$z^p J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z) \prec Q(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ z^p J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z) \right\} > \rho(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B) \quad (z \in U),$$

where Q and $\rho(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B)$ are given as in Theorem 1. The result is the best possible.

The proof following by replacing $\varphi(z)$ by $z^p J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f(z)$ in (3.3) and using the same lines as in the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. Let $-1 \leq B_i < A_i \leq 1$ ($i = 1, 2$). If each of the functions $f_i(z) \in \Sigma_p$ satisfies the following subordination condition

$$(1 - \beta) z^p J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f_i(z) + \beta z^p J_{p,\alpha}^{\lambda-1} f_i(z) \prec \frac{1 + A_i z}{1 + B_i z} \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad (3.5)$$

then

$$(1 - \beta) z^p J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda G(z) + \beta z^p J_{p,\alpha}^{\lambda-1} G(z) \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\eta)z}{1 - z},$$

where

$$G(z) = J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda (f_1 * f_2)(z)$$

and

$$\eta = 1 - \frac{4(A_1 - B_1)(A_2 - B_2)}{(1 - B_1)(1 - B_2)} \left[1 - {}_2F_1\left(1, 1, \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + 1; \frac{1}{2}\right) \right].$$

The result is the best possible when $B_1 = B_2 = -1$.

Suppose that each of the functions $f_i(z) \in \Sigma_p$ ($i = 1, 2$) satisfies the condition (3.5). Then by letting

$$\varphi_i(z) = (1 - \beta)z^p J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f_i(z) + \beta z^p J_{p,\alpha}^{\lambda-1} f_i(z) \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad (3.6)$$

we have

$$\varphi_i(z) \in P(\gamma_i) \quad (\gamma_i = \frac{1 - A_i}{1 - B_i}; i = 1, 2).$$

By making use of the identity (1.6) in (3.6), we observe that

$$J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f_i(z) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} z^{-p-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1} \varphi_i(t) dt \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

which, in view of the definition of $G(z)$, we have,

$$J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda G(z) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} z^{-p-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1} \varphi_0(t) dt, \quad (3.7)$$

where, for convenience,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0(z) &= z^p \left\{ (1 - \beta) J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda G(z) + \beta J_{p,\alpha}^{\lambda-1} G(z) \right\} \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{\beta} z^{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1} (\varphi_1 * \varphi_2)(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Since $\varphi_i(z) \in P(\gamma_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) it follows from Lemma 3, that

$$(\varphi_1 * \varphi_2)(z) \in P(\gamma_3) \quad (\gamma_3 = 1 - 2(1 - \gamma_1)(1 - \gamma_2)). \quad (3.9)$$

Now, by using (3.9) in (3.8) and then appealing to Lemma 2 and Lemma 4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi_0(z)\} &= \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1} \operatorname{Re}(\varphi_1 * \varphi_2)(uz) du \\ &\geq \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1} \left(2\gamma_3 - 1 + \frac{2(1 - \gamma_3)}{1 + u|z|} \right) du \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &> \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1} \left(2\gamma_3 - 1 + \frac{2(1-\gamma_3)}{1+u} \right) du \\
 &= 1 - \frac{4(A_1 - B_1)(A_2 - B_2)}{(1 - B_1)(1 - B_2)} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1} (1+u)^{-1} du \right) \\
 &= 1 - \frac{4(A_1 - B_1)(A_2 - B_2)}{(1 - B_1)(1 - B_2)} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1, \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + 1; \frac{1}{2}\right) \right] \\
 &= \eta \quad (z \in U).
 \end{aligned}$$

When $B_1 = B_2 = -1$, we consider the functions $f_i(z) \in \Sigma_p (i = 1, 2)$, which satisfy the hypothesis (3.5) of Theorem 3 and are defined by

$$J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f_i(z) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} z^{-p-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1} \left(\frac{1+A_i t}{1-t} \right) dt \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Thus it follows from (3.8) and Lemma 4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi_0(z) &= \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}-1} \left(1 - (1+A_1)(1+A_2) + \frac{(1+A_1)(1+A_2)}{1-uz} \right) du \\
 &= 1 - (1+A_1)(1+A_2) + (1+A_1)(1+A_2)(1-z)^{-1} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1, \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + 1; \frac{z}{z-1}\right) \\
 &\rightarrow 1 - (1+A_1)(1+A_2) + \frac{1}{2}(1+A_1)(1+A_2) {}_2F_1\left(1, 1, \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + 1; \frac{1}{2}\right) \text{ as } z \rightarrow -1,
 \end{aligned}$$

which evidently completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Taking $A_i = 1 - 2\alpha_i (0 \leq \alpha_i < 1)$, $B_i = -1 (i = 1, 2)$ and $\frac{\beta}{\alpha} = \tau$, in Theorem 3, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4. *If the functions $f_i(z) \in \Sigma_p (i = 1, 2)$ satisfy the following inequality:*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 + \tau p) z^p J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f_i(z) + \tau z^{p+1} \left(J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f_i(z) \right)' \right\} > \alpha_i \quad (\alpha_i < 1, i = 1, 2; z \in U), \tag{3.10}$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 + \tau p) z^p (J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f_1 * J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f_2)(z) + \tau z^{p+1} (J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f_1 * J_{p,\alpha}^\lambda f_2)(z)' \right\} > \gamma \quad (z \in U),$$

where

$$\gamma = 1 - 4(1 - \alpha_1)(1 - \alpha_2) \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1, \frac{1}{\tau} + 1; \frac{1}{2}\right) \right].$$

The result is the best possible.

Remark 4. (i) Putting $p = 1$ in Corollary 4, we obtain the result obtained by Lashin [3, Corollary 4];

(ii) Putting $\lambda = 0$ in Corollary 4, we obtain the result obtained by Yang [10, Theorem 4].

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Aqlan, J. M. Jahangiri and S. R. Kulkarni, Certain integral operators applied to meromorphic p -valent functions, *J. Nat. Geom.*, 24(2003), 111-120.
- [2] D. J. Hallenbeck and St. Ruscheweyh, Subordinations by convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 52(1975), 191-195.
- [3] A. Y. Lashin, On certain subclass of meromorphic functions associated with certain integral operators, *Comput. Math Appl.*, 59(2010), no.1, 524-531.
- [4] T. H. MacGregor, Functions whose derivative has a positive real part, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104(1962), 532-537.
- [5] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations : Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Appl. Math. No.225 Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2000.
- [6] J. Patel and P. Sahoo, On certain subclass of meromorphically univalent functions, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 42(2002), 121-132.
- [7] J. Stankiewicz and Z. Stankiewicz, Some applications of the Hadamard convolution in the theory of functions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodwska Sect. A*, 40 (1986), 251-265
- [8] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, New criteria for meromorphic starlike univalent functions, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 43(1991), 137-140.
- [9] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course on Modern Analysis : An Introduction to the General Theory of Infinite Processes and of Analytic Functions; With an Account of the Principal Transcendental Functions*, Fourth Edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1927.
- [10] D.-G. Yang, Certain convolution operators for meromorphic functions, *South-east Asian Bull. Math.*, 25(2001), 175-186.

R. M. El-Ashwah
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Damietta University
New Damietta 34517, Egypt

E-mail: *r_elashwah@yahoo.com*

M. K. Aouf

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Mansoura University

Mansoura 35516, Egypt

E-mail: *mkaouf127@yahoo.com*