

RESULTS ON FINITENESS OF GRADED LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

M.H.BIJAN-ZADEH AND FAISAL HASSANY

ABSTRACT. Let $R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} R_n$ be a Noetherian homogeneous ring with local base ring (R_0, m_0) and irrelevant ideal R_+ , let M be a finitely generated graded R -module. In this paper we show that if R_0 is a local ring of dimension one, then $H_{R_+}^i(H_{m_0R}^1(M))$ is Artinian for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let f be the least integer such that $H_{m_0R}^i(M)$ is not finitely generated graded R -module. In this case, we prove that $\Gamma_{R_+}(H_{m_0R}^i(M))$ is Artinian for all $i \leq f$. Finally let s be the largest positive integer such that $H_{m_0R}^i(M)$ is not Artinian. Then we prove that $H_{m_0R}^i(M)/R_+H_{m_0R}^i(M)$ is Artinian for all $i \geq s$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 13D45, 13E10.

1. INTRODUCTION

The local cohomology theory has an important role for the derived category and Grothendieck's duality. For instances, one place to find a relatively simple, concrete exposition in Hartshorne's book [Ha]. The notions local cohomology and derived category have successfully invaded branches of mathematics as remote as mathematical physics and even C^* -Algebra. In this paper we study graded local cohomology.

Let $R = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} R_n$ be a Noetherian homogenous ring with local base ring (R_0, m_0) . So R_0 is a Noetherian ring and there are finitely many elements $l_1, \dots, l_r \in R_1$ such that $R = R_0[l_1, \dots, l_r]$. Let $R_+ := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} R_n$ denote the irrelevant ideal of R and let $m := m_0 \bigoplus R_+$ denote the graded maximal ideal of R . Moreover Let $q_0 \subseteq R_0$ be an m_0 -primary ideal. Finally let $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$ be a finitely generated graded R -module.

Brodmann, Fumasoli and Tajarod in [2] showed that if the local base ring R_0 is of dimension one, then for all i and for all m_0 -primary ideal q_0 the graded R -modules $H_{R_+}^i(M)/q_0H_{R_+}^i(M)$, $(0, H_{R_+}^i(M) q_0)$ are Artinian and hence the length of

the components of these graded modules have polynomial growth. Next, the authors in [3] showed that the degrees of these polynomials are independent of the choice of q_0 . In the case $\dim(R_0) = 2$, the situation changes drastically. Here, the graded R -modules $(0, H_{R_+}^i(M) m_0)$ and $H_{R_+}^i(M)/m_0 H_{R_+}^i(M)$ need be Artinian in general (cf. [BFT, Examples 4.1, 4.2]). Moreover the above numerical functions need be polynomial in this case, as shown by examples of Katzman and Sharp.

Let $g = g(M)$ (referred to the *cohomological finite length dimension*) be the least integer i such that the R_0 -module $H_{R_+}^i(M)_n$ is of infinite length for infinitely many integer n . Authors in [3] showed that if $i \leq g$ then $\Gamma_{m_0}(H_{R_+}^i(M))$ is Artinian. Let $c = c(M)$ (referred to the *cohomological dimension of M with respect to R_+*) be the largest integer i such that $H_{R_+}^i(M) \neq 0$. Rttthaus and sega in [8] proved that $H_{R_+}^c/m_0 H_{R_+}^c(M)$ is Artinian. Sazeedeh in [3] generalizes this result for invariant $a = a_{R_+}(M)$ which is the largest positive number i such that $H_{R_+}^i(M)$ is not Artinian. In this paper we will obtain some parallel conclusion to this results when we change the places the irrelevant ideal R_+ and maximal ideal m_0 of R_0 . In the following we state some of our results which we have prove in this paper.

Let (R_0, m_0) be a local ring of dimension one. Then we show that $H_{R_+}^i/(H_{m_0R}^1(M))$ is Artinian for each $i \in N_0$. Moreover, we prove that $H_{m_0}^i(M)/R_+ H_{m_0}^i(M)$ are Artinian for all $i \in N_0$. Let f be the least integer such that $H_{m_0R}^f(M)$ is not finitely generated graded R -module. We will show that $\Gamma_{R_+}(H_{m_0R}^i(M))$ is Artinian for all $i \leq f$. Lastly if s is the largest positive integer such that $H_{m_0R}^s(M)$ is not Artinian. Then we prove that $H_{m_0R}^i(M)/R_+ H_{m_0R}^i(M)$ is Artinian for all $i \geq s$.

2. THE RESULTS

Theorem 1 *Let R_0 be a local ring of dimension one. Then $H_{R_+}^i(H_{m_0R}^1(M))$ is Artinian for each $i \in N_0$.*

Proof. As R_0 is of dimension one, there exists an element $x \in m_0$ such that x is a system of parameter of R_0 . Moreover, since there is a trivial isomorphism $H_{m_0R}^1(M) \cong H_{m_0}^1(M/\Gamma_{m_0}(M))$, we may assume that $\Gamma_{m_0}(M) = 0$; and hence there exists an element $x_0 \in m_0$ such that it is an M -sequence. By using the usual short exact sequence constructed by x_0 , there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{m_0R}(M/x_0M) \rightarrow H_{m_0R}^1(M) \xrightarrow{x_0} H_{m_0R}^1(M) \rightarrow 0.$$

Application of the functor $H_{R_+}^i(-)$, to this exact sequence induces the following exact sequence $H_{R_+}^i(\Gamma_{m_0R}(M/x_0M)) \rightarrow H_{R_+}^i(H_{m_0R}^1(M)) \xrightarrow{x_0} H_{R_+}^i(H_{m_0R}^1(M)) \rightarrow H_{R_+}^{i+1}(\Gamma_{m_0R}(M/x_0M))$. We note that $H_{R_+}^i(\Gamma_{m_0R}(M/x_0M))$ is Artinian, and hence

$(0 :_{H_{R_+}(H_{m_0R}^1(M))} x)$ is Artinian. On the other hand, since $H_{R_+}^i(\Gamma_{m_0R}(M/x_0M))$ is x -torsion, using Melkersson Lemma, we get our assertion.

Theorem 2 *Let f be the least integer such that $H_{m_0R}^f(M)$ is not finitely generated graded R -module. Then $\Gamma_{R_+}(H_{m_0R}^i(M))$ is Artinian for all $i \leq f$.*

Proof. At first, we assume that $i < f$. In this case, since $H_{m_0R}^i(M)$ is finitely generated and m_0 -torsion, $\Gamma_{R_+}(H_{m_0R}^i(M))$ is finitely generated and m -torsion and so there exists an positive integer t such that $m^t \Gamma_{R_+}(H_{m_0R}^i(M)) = 0$ and this implies that $\Gamma_{R_+}(H_{m_0R}^i(M))$ is Artinian. Let $i = f$. As there is an isomorphism $H_{m_0R}^i(M) \cong H_{m_0R}^i(M/\Gamma_{m_0R}(M))$ for each i , we may assume that $\Gamma_{m_0R}(M) = 0$ and so there exists an element $x \in m_0$ such that it is an M -sequence. In view of the usual short exact sequence constructed by x , we have the following exact sequence

$$H_{m_0R}^{f-1}(M) \rightarrow H_{m_0R}^{f-1}(M/xM) \rightarrow H_{m_0R}(M) \xrightarrow{x_0} H_{m_0R}(M).$$

Consider $U = \text{Im}(H_{m_0R}^{f-1}(M) \rightarrow H_{m_0R}^{f-1}(M/xM))$ and $V = (0 :_{H_{m_0R}^f(M)} x)$. We note that $H_{m_0R}^{f-1}(M)$ is finitely generated and m_0 -torsion and so is V . This implies that $H_{R_+}^i(V)$ and $H_{R_+}^i(H_{m_0R}^{f-1}(M))$ are Artinian for all i and hence $\Gamma_{R_+}(V) = \Gamma_{R_+}((0 :_{H_{m_0R}^f(M)} x)) = (0 :_{\Gamma_{R_+}(H_{m_0R}^f(M))} x)$ is Artinaian. Now. since $\Gamma_{R_+}(H_{m_0R}^f(M))$ is x -torsion, by using Melkersson Lemma the result follows.

Proposition 3 *Let (R_0, m_0) be a local ring of dimension one. Then*

$$H_{m_0}^i(M)/R_+H_{m_0}^i(M)$$

are Artinian for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. Since M is finitely generated, there exists a free resolution $\dots \rightarrow R^{n_1} \rightarrow R^{n_0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ of R -modules. Consider $K_i = \text{Ker}(R^{n_i} \rightarrow R^{n_{i+1}})$. By applying the functor $V \otimes_R -$ to the above exact sequence, we get the following exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(M, V) \rightarrow K \otimes_R M \rightarrow V \otimes_R R^{n_0} \rightarrow V \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0$. The above exact sequence implies that $V \otimes_R M$ is Artinian. Now, if we replace K by M , then we conclude that $K \otimes_R M$ is Artinian too and hence $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, V)$ is Artinian. Now, by using an easy induction we can get our claim.

Theorem 4 *Let s be the largest positive integer such that $H_{m_0R}^s(M)$ is not Artinian. Then $H_{m_0R}^i(M)/R_+H_{m_0R}^i(M)$ is Artinian for all $i \geq s$.*

Proof. At first consider $i > s$. In this case $H_{m_0R}^i(M)$ is Artinian and then the graded module $H_{m_0R}^i(M)/R_+H_{m_0R}^i(M)$ is Artinian by the previous lemma. Now, assume that $i = s$. By a usual proof mentioned in Proposition (3), we may assume that the residue field R_0/m_0 is infinite. Let $d = \dim M$. We proceed by induction on d . Set $d = 1$. Since, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there is an isomorphism $H_{m_0R}^i(M) \cong H_{m_0R}^i(M/\Gamma_{m_0R}(M))$, we may assume that $\Gamma_{m_0R}(M) = 0$. Now, by a similar proof that mentioned in Proposition (3), we can get our assertion. Suppose, inductively that the result has been proved for all values smaller than d and we prove it for d . Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{R_+}(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M) \rightarrow 0$. Application of the functor $H_{m_0R}^i(-)$ induces the following exact sequence

$$H_{m_0R}^i(\Gamma_{R_+}(M)) \rightarrow H_{m_0R}^i(M) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_{m_0R}^i(M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M)) \rightarrow H_{m_0R}^{i+1}(\Gamma_{R_+}(M)).$$

Set $U := \text{Ker}(\alpha)$, $V := \text{Im}(\alpha)$ and $W := \text{Coker}(\alpha)$. It should be noted that $H_{m_0R}^i(\Gamma_{R_+}(M))$ is Artinian for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and so are U and W . Now, consider the following exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow H_{m_0R}^i(M) \rightarrow V \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow H_{m_0R}^i(M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M)) \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0.$$

By effecting the functor $R/R_+ \otimes_{R-}$ to the exact sequence above and using Lemma 1.4, we can conclude that $R/R_+ \otimes_{R-} H_{m_0R}^i(M)$ is Artinian if and only if $R/R_+ \otimes_{R-} H_{m_0R}^i(M/\Gamma_{R_+}(M))$ is Artinian; and hence we may assume that $\Gamma_{R_+}(M) = 0$. In view of the previous argument, we can choose an element $x \in R_1$ which is an M -sequence and then there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0$. Applying the functor $H_{m_0R}^i(-)$ to the exact sequence above induces the following exact sequence

$$H_{m_0R}^{i-1}(M/xM) \rightarrow H_{m_0R}^i(M) \xrightarrow{x} H_{m_0R}^i(M) \rightarrow H_{m_0R}^i(M/xM).$$

By a similar proof that mentioned in [S, Lemma 2.2], we can deduce that $a_{m_0R}(M/xM) \leq a_{m_0R}(M)$. As $\dim M/xM = d - 1$, induction hypotheses implies that $R/R_+ \otimes_{R-} H_{m_0R}^s(M/xM)$ is Artinian. Now set $A := \text{Coker}(x)$. Applying the functor $R/R_+ \otimes_{R-}$ – induces the exact sequence

$$R/R_+ \otimes_{R-} H_{m_0R}^s(M) \xrightarrow{id_{R/R_+} \otimes x} R/R_+ \otimes_{R-} H_{m_0R}^s(M) \rightarrow R/R_+ \otimes_{R-} A \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $x \in R_1$ the map $id_{R/R_+} \otimes x$ is zero and hence there is an isomorphism $R/R_+ \otimes_{R-} H_{m_0R}^s(M) \cong R/R_+ \otimes_{R-} A$. On the other hand there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow H_{m_0R}^s(M/xM) \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ in which B is a submodule of the Artinian

module $H_{m_0R}^{s+1}(M)$. Now, by effecting the functor $R/R_+ \otimes_R -$ to the above exact sequence and using Lemma 2.4, we can conclude that $R/R_+ \otimes_R A$ is Artinian and so is $H_{m_0R}^s(M)/R_+H_{m_0R}^s(M)$.

Proposition 5 *Let m_0 be a principal ideal of R_0 . Then $H_{R_+}^i(H_{m_0R}^j(M))$ is Artinian for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

Proof. Since m_0 is principal. there is $H_{m_0}^j(M) = 0$ for all $j > 1$. If $j = 0$, then $\Gamma_{m_0}(M)$ is a finitely generated graded m_0 -torsion R -module, and hence for each i , there is an isomorphism $H_{R_+}^i(\Gamma_{m_0}(M)) \cong H_m^i(\Gamma_{m_0}(M))$. We note that the last term is Artinian and the result is clear in this case. Now, consider $j = 1$. Since there is an isomorphism $H_{m_0}^1(M) \cong H_{m_0}^1(M/\Gamma_{m_0}(M))$, we may assume that $\Gamma_{m_0}(M) = 0$. thus there exists an element $x \in m_0$ which is a non-zero-divisor with respect to M and so there is the following exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0$. Application of the functor $H_{m_0}^j(-)$ to this exact sequence induces the following exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{m_0}(M/xM) \rightarrow H_{m_0}^1(M) \xrightarrow{x} H_{m_0}^1(M) \rightarrow 0$. Now, if we apply the functor $H_{R_+}^i(-)$ to the last exact sequence, we get the following exact sequence $H_{R_+}^{i-1}(\Gamma_{m_0}(M/xM)) \rightarrow H_{R_+}^i(H_{m_0}^1(M)) \xrightarrow{x} H_{R_+}^i(H_{m_0}^1(M)) \rightarrow 0$. It should be noted that $H_{R_+}^{i-1}(\Gamma_{m_0}(M/xM)) \cong H_m^{i-1}(\Gamma_{m_0}(M/xM))$ is Artinian. So this fact implies that $(0 :_{H_{R_+}^{i-1}(H_{m_0}^1(M))} x)$ is Artinian. Now, since $H_{R_+}^i(H_{m_0}^1(M))$ is x -torsion, using Melkersson's Lemma this module is Artinian.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.Brodmann and R.Y.Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geomet-ric application*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 60, Cambridge University Press(1998).
- [2] M.Brodmann and R.Y.Sharp, *Local cohomology:an algebraic introduction with geomet-ric application*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 60, Cambridge University Press(1998).
- [3] M. Brodmann, . Fumasoli and R. Tajarod, *Local cohomology cver homogeneous rings with one-dimensional local base ring*, Proceeding of the AMS 131(2003), 2977-2985.
- [4] M. Brodmann, F. Rohrer and R. Sazeeleh, *Multiplicities pf graded components of local cohomology modules*, Journal of Pure and pplied Algebra 197(2005), 249-278.
- [5] W. Bruns and J.Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambride tudies in Advanced Mathematics 39, Revised edition, Cambridge University Press (1998).

- [6] M. T. Dibaei and S. Yassemi, *Associated Primes and confiniteness of local cohomology modules*, Manuscripta math 117(2005), 199-205.
- [7] D. Kirby, *Artinian modules and Hilbert polynomials*, Quarterly Journal Mathematics Oxford(2) 24(1973), 47-57.
- [8] M. Katzman and R. Y. Sharp, *Some properties of top graded local cohomology modules*, Journal of Algebra 259 (2003), 599-612.
- [9] C. Rotthaus and L. M. Sega, *Some properties of graded local cohomology modules*, Journal of Algebra(2004).

M.H.Bijan-Zadeh

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Engineering

Tehran Teacher Training University, Tehran, Iran

email: *MH_bijan@pnu.ac.ir*

Faisal Hassany

Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science

Payame Noor University(PNU), Tehran, Iran

email: *Hassani@pnu.ac.ir*