

ON APPROXIMATE AMENABILITY OF REES SEMIGROUP ALGEBRAS

OLUWATOSIN T. MEWOMO, GBENGA AKINBO

ABSTRACT. Let $S = \mathcal{M}^o(G, P, I)$ be a Rees matrix semigroup with zero over a group G , we show that the approximate amenability of $\ell^1(S)$ is equivalent to its amenability whenever the group G is amenable and the index set I is finite.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46H20, 46H10.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [8], Esslamzadeh introduced a new category of Banach algebras, l^1 -Munn algebras, which he used as a tool in the study of semigroup algebras. He characterized amenable l^1 -Munn algebras and also semisimple ones in this category. He also compared l^1 -Munn algebras with some other well-known algebras and investigated some of their basic structural properties. In particular, he showed that the amenability of l^1 -Munn algebra $\mathcal{M}(A, P, I, J)$ is equivalent to the amenability of the Banach algebra A whenever I and J are finite index sets and the sandwich matrix P is invertible.

In [5], Dales, Ghahramani, and Gronbaek introduced the concept of n -weak amenability for Banach algebras for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. They determined some relations between m - and n -weak amenability for general Banach algebras and for Banach algebras in various classes, and proved that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(n + 2)$ -weak amenability always implies n -weak amenability. Let A be a weakly amenable Banach algebra. Then it was proved in [5] that in the case where A is an ideal in its second dual (A'', \cdot) , A is necessarily $(2m - 1)$ -weakly amenable for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The authors of [5] asked the following questions: (i) Is a weakly amenable Banach algebra necessarily 3-weakly amenable? (ii) Is a 2-weakly amenable Banach algebra necessarily 4-weakly amenable? A counter-example resolving question (i) was given by Zhang in [17], but it seems that question (ii) is still open.

It was also shown in [[5], Corollary 5.4] that for certain Banach space E the Banach algebra $\mathcal{N}(E)$ of nuclear operators on E is n -weakly amenable if and only if n is odd.

Another variation of the notion of amenability for Banach algebras was also introduced by Ghahramani and Loy in [10]. Let A be a Banach algebra and let X be a Banach A -bimodule. A derivation $D : A \rightarrow X$ is *approximately inner* if there is a net (x_α) in X such that

$$D(a) = \lim_\alpha (a \cdot x_\alpha - x_\alpha \cdot a) \quad (a \in A),$$

the limit being taken in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. The Banach algebra A is *approximately amenable* if, for each Banach A -bimodule X , every continuous derivation $D : A \rightarrow X'$ is approximately inner.

The basic properties of approximately amenable Banach algebras were established in [10], see also [2]. Certainly every amenable Banach algebra is approximately amenable; a commutative, approximately amenable Banach algebra is weakly amenable; examples of commutative, approximately amenable Banach algebras which are not amenable were given in [[10], Example 6.1]. Characterizations of approximately amenable Banach algebras were also established in [10], they are analogous to the characterization of amenable Banach algebras as those with a bounded approximate diagonal.

A class of Banach algebras that was not considered in [5] is the Banach algebras on semigroups. In [15], Mewomo considered this class of Banach algebras by examining the n -weak amenability of some semigroup algebras. In particular, he showed that $l^1(S)$ is $(2k + 1)$ -weakly amenable for $k \in^+$ and a Rees matrix semigroup S .

In this paper, we shall continue our study on Rees matrix semigroup algebra with relation to its amenability and approximate amenability. We shall also extend the results in [8] on l^1 -Munn algebras.

2. PRELIMINARIES

First, we recall some standard notions; for further details, see [4], [11], and [3].

Let A be an algebra. Let X be an A -bimodule. A *derivation* from A to X is a linear map $D : A \rightarrow X$ such that

$$D(ab) = Da \cdot b + a \cdot Db \quad (a, b \in A).$$

For example, $\delta_x : a \rightarrow a \cdot x - x \cdot a$ is a derivation; derivations of this form are the *inner derivations*.

Let A be a Banach algebra, and let X be an A -bimodule. Then X is a Banach A -bimodule if X is a Banach space and if there is a constant $k > 0$ such that

$$a \cdot x \leq kax, \quad x \cdot a \leq kax \quad (a \in A, x \in X).$$

By renorming X , we may suppose that $k = 1$. For example, A itself is Banach A -bimodule, and X' , the dual space of a Banach A -bimodule X , is a Banach A -bimodule with respect to the module operations defined by

$$\langle x, a \cdot \lambda \rangle = \langle x \cdot a, \lambda \rangle, \quad \langle x, \lambda \cdot a \rangle = \langle a \cdot x, \lambda \rangle \quad (x \in X)$$

for $a \in A$ and $\lambda \in X'$; we say that X' is the *dual module* of X . Successively, the duals $X^{(n)}$ are Banach A -bimodules; in particular $A^{(n)}$ is a Banach A -bimodule for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We take $X^{(0)} = X$.

Let A be a Banach algebra, and let X be a Banach A -bimodule. Then $\mathcal{Z}^1(A, X)$ is the space of all continuous derivations from A into X , $\mathcal{N}^1(A, X)$ is the space of all inner derivations from A into X , and the first cohomology group of A with coefficients in X is the quotient space

$$\mathcal{H}^1(A, X) = \mathcal{Z}^1(A, X) / \mathcal{N}^1(A, X).$$

The Banach algebra A is *amenable* if $\mathcal{H}^1(A, X') = \{0\}$ for each Banach A -bimodule X and *weakly amenable* if $\mathcal{H}^1(A, A') = \{0\}$. Further, as in [5], A is *n-weakly amenable* for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if $\mathcal{H}^1(A, A^{(n)}) = \{0\}$, and A is *permanently weakly amenable* if it is n -weakly amenable for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For instance, each C^* -algebra is permanently weakly amenable [[5], Theorem 2.1].

Arens in [1] defined two products, \star and \diamond , on the bidual A'' of Banach algebra A ; A'' is a Banach algebra with respect to each of these products, and each algebra contains A as a closed subalgebra. The products are called the *first* and *second Arens products* on A'' , respectively. For the general theory of Arens products, see [4]-[6].

Let S be a non-empty set. Then

$$\ell^1(S) = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{R}^S : \sum_{s \in S} |f(s)| < \infty \right\},$$

with the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ given by $\|f\|_1 = \sum_{s \in S} |f(s)|$ for $f \in \ell^1(S)$. We write δ_s for the characteristic function of $\{s\}$ when $s \in S$.

Now suppose that S is a semigroup. For $f, g \in \ell^1(S)$, we set

$$(f \star g)(t) = \left\{ \sum f(r)g(s) : r, s \in S, rs = t \right\} \quad (t \in S)$$

so that $f \star g \in \ell^1(S)$. It is standard that $(\ell^1(S), \star)$ is a Banach algebra, called the *semigroup algebra on S* . For a further discussion of this algebra, see [4], [6], for example. In particular, with $A = \ell^1(S)$, we identify A' with $C(\beta S)$, where βS is the Stone-Ćech compactification of S , and (A'', \star) with $(M(\beta S), \star)$, where $M(\beta S)$ is the

space of regular Borel measures on βS of S ; in this way, $(\beta S, \cdot)$ is a compact, right topological semigroup that is a subsemigroup of $(M(\beta S), \cdot)$ after the identification of $u \in \beta S$ with $\delta_u \in M(\beta S)$.

Let S be a semigroup, and let $o \in S$ be such that $so = os = o$; ($s \in S$). Then o is a zero for the semigroup S . Suppose that $o \notin S$; set $S^o = S \cup \{o\}$, and define $so = os = o$ ($s \in S$) and $o^2 = o$. Then S^o is a semigroup containing S as a subsemigroup; we say that S is formed by adjoining a zero to S .

We recall that S is a right zero semigroup if the product in S is such that

$$st = t \quad (s, t \in S).$$

In this case, $f \star g = \varphi_S(f)g$ ($f, g \in \ell^1(S)$).

Let S be a semigroup. we recall that S is regular if, for each $s \in S$, there exists $t \in S$ with $sts = s$. S is an inverse semigroup if for every $s \in S$ there is a unique $s^* \in S$ such that $ss^*s = s$ and $s^*ss^* = s^*$. An element $p \in S$ is an idempotent if $p^2 = p$; the set of idempotents of S is denoted by $E(S)$. Let S be a semigroup with a zero 0 . Then an idempotent p is primitive if $p \neq 0$ or $q = 0$ whenever $q \in E(S)$ with $q \leq p$, where \leq is partially ordered on $E(S)$ defined as $p \leq q$ if $p = pq = qp$ for every $p, q \in E(S)$. S is 0-simple if $S_{[2]} \neq \{0\}$ and the only ideals in S are $\{0\}$ and S , and S is completely 0-simple if it is 0-simple and contains a primitive idempotent.

3. APPROXIMATE AMENABILITY OF l^1 -MUNN ALGEBRAS

Let A be a unital algebra, let I and J be arbitrary index sets, and let $P = (a_{i,j})$ be a $J \times I$ nonzero matrix over A . Then $\mathcal{M}(A, P, I, J)$ the vector space of all $I \times J$ matrices over A is an algebra for the product

$$a \circ b = aPb \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{M}(A, P, I, J))$$

(in the sense of matrix products). This is the *Munn algebra over A with sandwich matrix P* , and it is denoted by

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}(A, P, I, J).$$

Now suppose that A is a unital Banach algebra and that each non-zero element in P has norm 1. Then $\mathcal{M}(A, P, I, J)$ is also a Banach algebra for the norm given by

$$\|(a_{ij})\| = \sum \{a_{ij} : i \in I, j \in J\} \quad ((a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}(A, P, I, J)). \tag{3.1}$$

These Banach algebras are those defined by Esslamzadeh in [[8], Definition 3.1] called l^1 -Munn algebra with the sandwich matrix P . When $J = I$, we denote $\mathcal{M}(A, P, I, J)$ by $\mathcal{M}(A, P, I)$, and when $J = I$ with P an identity $I \times I$ matrix over A , we denote

$\mathcal{M}(A, P, I, J)$ by $\mathcal{M}(A, I)$. Also we denote $\mathcal{M}(, I)$ simply by $\mathcal{M}(I)$ and in particular when $|I| = n < \infty$, $\mathcal{M}(I)$ is the algebra \mathcal{M}_n of $n \times n$ complex matrices.

We may make the following assumptions if necessary : each non-zero element of P is invertible, and P has no zero rows or columns.

The following useful results are from [8] on l^1 -Munn algebras.

Lemma 3.1 *Every $u \in \mathcal{M}(I) \hat{\otimes} A$ has a unique expression of the form*
 $u = \sum_{i,j \in I} \varepsilon_{ij} \otimes a_{ij}, \quad a_{ij} \in A.$

Lemma 3.2 $\mathcal{M}(A, I)$ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(I) \hat{\otimes} A$.

The next result is well-known for the case that I is finite, see [[6], Theorem 2.7]. The general case can be proved with the same technique and using Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

Theorem 3.3 *Let A be a unital Banach algebra.*

- (i) *The Banach algebra $\mathcal{M}(A, I)$ is amenable if and only if A is amenable.*
- (ii) *The Banach algebra $\mathcal{M}(A, I)$ is weakly amenable if and only if A is weakly amenable.*

We recall that a Banach algebra A is super-amenable if $\mathcal{H}^1(A, X) = \{0\}$ for each Banach A -bimodule X and that a diagonal operator $\pi : A \hat{\otimes} A \rightarrow A$ is defined as $\pi(a \hat{\otimes} b) = ab \quad (a, b \in A)$. $\mathbf{m} \in A \hat{\otimes} A$ is called a diagonal for A if $a \cdot \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m} \cdot a = 0$ and $a\pi(\mathbf{m}) = a$. A is super-amenable if and only if A has a diagonal and $A \hat{\otimes} B$ is super-amenable if A and B are super amenable, see page 84 of [16] for further details. Since \mathcal{M}_n has a diagonal, then it is super-amenable. With this, we have the next result.

Theorem 3.4 *let A be a super-amenable Banach algebra, then $\mathcal{M}(A, P, I, J)$ is super-amenable whenever I and J are finite and P is invertible.*

Proof. Since I and J are finite and P is invertible, then $\mathcal{M}(A, P, I, J)$ is topologically algebra isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_n \hat{\otimes} A$ for $n = |I| = |J|$ by Lemma 3.2 above and Lemma 3.5 of [8], and so, it is super-amenable.

4. APPROXIMATE AMENABILITY OF RESS SEMIGROUP ALGEBRAS

Let S be a semigroup. It is not known in general when the semigroup algebra $\ell^1(S)$ is approximately amenable; partial result is given in [[9], Theorem 9.2]. Thus we cannot determine when $\ell^1(S)$ is approximately amenable. Some known structural implications of amenability of $\ell^1(S)$ for an arbitrary semigroup S are given below.

Theorem 4.1 *let S be a semigroup with $\ell^1(S)$ amenable. Then*

- (i) *$E(S)$ is finite [[3], Theorem 2]*

- (ii) S is regular [[3], Theorem 2]
- (iii) $\ell^1(S)$ has an identity [[6], Corollary 10.6].

Here we give some special cases; we describe Rees semigroups, and show that, for each such semigroup S , the approximate amenability of $\ell^1(S)$ is equivalent to its amenability whenever the index set I is finite and the group G is amenable.

Rees semigroups are described in [[11], §3.2] and [[6], Chapter 3]. Indeed, let G be a group, and let I, J be arbitrary nonempty set; the zero adjoined to G is o . A *Rees semigroup* has the form $S = \mathcal{M}(G, P, I, J)$; here $P = (a_{ij})$ is a $J \times I$ matrix over G , the collection of $I \times J$ matrices with components in G . For $x \in G$, $i \in I$, and $j \in J$, let $(x)_{ij}$ be the element of $M(G^o, I, j)$ with x in the $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ place and o elsewhere. As a set, S consists of the collection of all these matrices $(x)_{ij}$. Multiplication in S is given by the formula

$$(x)_{ij}(y)_{k\ell} = (xa_{jk}y)_{i\ell} \quad (x, y \in G, i, k \in I, j, \ell \in J);$$

it is shown in [[11], Lemma 3.2.2] that S is a semigroup.

Similarly, we have the semigroup $\mathcal{M}^o(G, P, I, J)$, where the elements of this semigroup are those of $\mathcal{M}(G, P, I, J)$, together with the element o , identified with the matrix that has o in each place (so that o is the zero of $\mathcal{M}^o(G, P, I, J)$), and the components of P are now allowed to belong to G^o . The matrix P is called the *sandwich matrix* in each case. The semigroup $\mathcal{M}^o(G, P, I, J)$ is a *Rees matrix semigroup with a zero over G* .

We write $\mathcal{M}^o(G, P, I)$ for $\mathcal{M}^o(G, P, I, I)$ in the case where $J = I$.

The above sandwich matrix P is *regular* if every row and column contains at least one entry in G ; the semigroup $\mathcal{M}^o(G, P, I, J)$ is regular as a semigroup if and only if the sandwich matrix is regular.

For the Rees matrix semigroup $S = \mathcal{M}^o(G, P, I)$, suppose $P = (a_{ij})$, where $a_{ii} = e_G$ ($i \in I$) and $a_{ij} = 0$ ($i \neq j$), so that $P = I_G(I)$ is the $I \times I$ identity matrix. Then we set $\mathcal{M}^o(G, P, I) = \mathcal{M}^o(G, I)$. With this notation, we have the next result.

Proposition 4.2 *let $S = \mathcal{M}^o(G, I)$ be a Rees matrix semigroup with a zero over a group G with index set I . Then $\ell^1(S)$ is amenable if and only if G is amenable and I is a finite set.*

Proof. Suppose the index set I is infinite. Since $\{(e)_{ii} : i \in I\} \subset E(S)$ where e is the identity element of G and $E(S)$ is the set of idempotents in S , then $E(S)$ is infinite since I is suppose to be infinite. Since S is inverse, then $\ell^1(S)$ is not amenable by using the remark on page 143 of [7].

As in [6], let $S = \mathcal{M}^o(G, P, I, J)$ be a Rees matrix semigroup with zero over a

group G with index sets I and J and P a regular sandwich matrix. We set

$$N(P) = \{(j, k) \in I \times J : a_{jk} \neq 0\}$$

and

$$Z(P) = \{(j, k) \in I \times J : a_{jk} = 0\}.$$

For $i \in I$ and $j \in J$, let $e_{ij} = (e)_{ij}$ where e is the identity element of G . The elements e_{ij} are the matrix units of S . An idempotent other than 0 of $\mathcal{M}^o(G, P, I, J)$ has the form $(a_{jk}^{-1})_{kj}$, where $(j, k) \in N(P)$, and so

$$|E(S)| = |N(P)| + 1$$

if the index sets I and J are finite and $E(S)$ is infinite if I and J are infinite. In particular, in the case where $J = I$ and $P = I_G(I)$ and $S = \mathcal{M}^o(G, I)$, then

$$|E(S)| = |I| + 1$$

if I is finite and $E(S)$ is infinite if the index set I is infinite. With this, we give a generalization of proposition 4.2.

Proposition 4.3 *let $S = \mathcal{M}^o(G, P, I)$ be a Rees matrix semigroup with zero over a group G and a regular sandwich matrix P with index set I . Then $\ell^1(S)$ is amenable if and only if G is amenable and I is finite.*

Proof. Suppose the index set I is infinite, then $E(S)$ is infinite with the above explanation. Since $\ell^1(S)$ is inverse, then $\ell^1(S)$ is not amenable by the remark on page 143 of [7].

We next consider the approximate amenability of $\ell^1(S)$ for $S = \mathcal{M}^o(G, P, I, J)$.

Lemma 4.4 *Let S be any infinite set, then $\ell^1(S)$ is not approximately amenable.*

Proof. Suppose $\ell^1(S)$ is approximately amenable. Since S is infinite, there exists a continuous epimorphism $\varphi : \ell^1(S) \rightarrow \ell^1()$, and so $\ell^1()$ is approximately amenable using proposition 2.2 of [10]. This is a contradiction because $\ell^1()$ does not have a left approximate identity, so by [[10], Lemma 2.2], $\ell^1()$ is not approximately amenable.

Proposition 4.5 *Let $S = \mathcal{M}^o(G, P, I)$ be a Rees matrix semigroup with zero over a group G with an infinite index I . Then $\ell^1(S)$ is not approximately amenable.*

Proof. Clearly S is infinite if I is infinite. Thus the result follows from Lemma 4.4.

Theorem 4.6 *Let $S = \mathcal{M}^o(G, P, I)$ be a Rees matrix semigroup with a zero over a group G and a regular sandwich matrix P with index set I . Then the following are equivalent*

- (i) $\ell^1(S)$ is amenable
- (ii) $\ell^1(S)$ is approximately amenable
- (iii) G is amenable and I is finite.

Proof. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is clear, while the implications (iii) \Leftrightarrow (i) is proposition 4.3. We only need to prove the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii).

Suppose $\ell^1(S)$ is approximately amenable, then I is not infinite by proposition 4.5, so we conclude that I is finite. We finally prove that G is amenable. Since $\ell^1(S)$ is approximately amenable, then $\ell^1(S^1)$ is approximately amenable by [[10], Proposition 2.4], where $\ell^1(S^1)$ is the unitization of $\ell^1(S)$ and $S^1 = S \cup \{1\}$ such that $s \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot s = s$ ($s \in S^1$) and $s \cdot t = st$ ($s, t \in S$). And so by [[10], Theorem 2.1(b)], there is a net $(M_v) \subset (\ell^1(S^1) \hat{\otimes} \ell^1(S^1))^{**}$ such that for every $s \in S^1$, $\delta_s \cdot M_v - M_v \cdot \delta_s \rightarrow 0$ and $\pi^{**}(M_v) = \delta_1$.

Let $i_o \in I$ be fixed and to each $\varphi \in \ell^\infty(G)$, we define $\tilde{\varphi} \in \ell^\infty(S^1 \times S^1)$ by

$$\tilde{\varphi}(s, t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(g) & \text{if } t = (g)_{i_o i_o}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For each v , define $\langle \varphi, m_v \rangle = \langle \tilde{\varphi}, M_v \rangle$. Thus,

$$\langle 1, m_v \rangle = \langle \tilde{1}, M_v \rangle = \langle 1, \pi^{**}(M_v) \rangle = \langle 1, \delta_1 \rangle = 1.$$

For $g \in G$, we have

$$(\tilde{\varphi} \cdot \delta_{(g)_{i_o i_o}})(s, t) = \tilde{\varphi}(s, (g)_{i_o i_o} t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(gh) & \text{if } t = (h)_{i_o i_o}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varphi \cdot \tilde{\delta}_g(s, t) = \begin{cases} (\varphi \cdot \delta_g)(h) & \text{if } t = (h)_{i_o i_o}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \varphi(gh) & \text{if } t = (h)_{i_o i_o}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\tilde{\varphi} \cdot \delta_{(g)_{i_o i_o}} = \varphi \cdot \tilde{\delta}_g$.

Similarly, $\delta_{(g)_{i_o i_o}} \cdot \tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi}$.

And so, for each $g \in G$, $\varphi \in \ell^\infty(G)$ and v ,

$$\langle \varphi \cdot \delta_g - \varphi, m_v \rangle = \langle \varphi \cdot \tilde{\delta}_g - \tilde{\varphi}, M_v \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \langle \tilde{\varphi} \cdot \delta_{(g)i_o i_o} - \delta_{(g)i_o i_o} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}, M_v \rangle \\
 &\quad \langle \tilde{\varphi}, \delta_{(g)i_o i_o} \cdot M_v - M_v \cdot \delta_{(g)i_o i_o} \rangle \\
 &\leq \| \delta_{(g)i_o i_o} \cdot M_v - M_v \cdot \delta_{(g)i_o i_o} \| \| \varphi \|_\infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\| \delta_g \cdot m_v - m_v \| \rightarrow 0$.

Let m be a w^* -cluster point of (m_v) . By passing to a subnet, we may suppose that $m = w^* - \lim m_v$. From $\| \delta_g \cdot m_v - m_v \| \rightarrow 0$, we have $\delta_g \cdot m = m$. And so, for every $\varphi \in \ell^\infty(G)$, $g \in G$, we have

$$\langle \varphi \cdot \delta_g, m \rangle = \langle \varphi, \delta_g \cdot m \rangle = \langle \varphi, m \rangle.$$

By using [16, Theorem 1.1.9], it easily follows that G is amenable.

Remark 4.7 Theorem 4.6 shows that for a regular Rees matrix semigroup $S = \mathcal{M}^o(G, P, I)$ with zero over a group G , the approximate amenability of $\ell^1(S)$ is equivalent to its amenability in a case where G is amenable and I is finite.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Arens, *The adjoint of a bilinear operation*, Proc. American Math. Soc. 2 (1951), 839-848.
- [2] Y. Choi, F. Ghahramani, and Y. Zhang, *Approximate and pseudo amenability of various classes of Banach algebras*, arKiv Math. FA/08013415 (2008).
- [3] A.H. Clifford and J. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Volumes I and II Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island (1961) and (1967).
- [4] H.G.Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, New Series, Volume 24, The Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [5] H. G. Dales, F. Ghahramani, and N. Gronbaek, *Derivations into iterated duals of Banach algebras*, Studia Math. 128 (1998), 19-54.
- [6] H. G. Dales, A. T.-M. Lau, and D. Strauss, *Banach algebras on semigroups and their compactifications*, Memoirs American Math. Soc. , 205 (2010), 1-165.
- [7] J. Duncan and A. L. T. Paterson, *Amenability for discrete convolution semigroup algebras*, Math. Scand. 66 (1990), 141-146.
- [8] G. H. Esslamzadeh, *Banach algebra structure and amenability of a class of matrix algebras with applications*, J. Functional Analysis, 161 (1999), 364-383.
- [9] F. Ghahramani, R.J. Loy and Y. Zhang, *Generalized notions of amenability II*, J. Funct. Anal. 254 (2008), 1776 - 1810.
- [10] F. Ghahramani, and R.J. Loy, *Generalized notions of amenability*, J. Funct. Anal. 208 (2004), No. 1, 229-260.
- [11] J. M. Howie, *Fundamentals of semigroup theory*, London Math. Society Monographs, Volume 12, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.

- [12] B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Memoirs American Math. Soc., 127 (1972).
- [13] B. E. Johnson, *Weak amenability of group algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 23 (1991), 281-284.
- [14] B. E. Johnson, *Permanent weak amenability of group algebras of free groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 31 (1999), 569-573.
- [15] O. T. Mewomo, *On n -weak amenability of Rees semigroup algebras*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) 118, No. 4, (2008), 1-9.
- [16] V. Runde, *Lectures on amenability*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2002).
- [17] Y. Zhang, *Weak amenability of module extensions of Banach algebras*, Trans. American Math. Soc. 354 (2002), 4131- 4151.

Oluwatosin T. Mewomo
Department of Mathematics,
University of Agriculture,
P.M.B. 2240, Abeokuta, Nigeria.
email: *tosinmewomo@yahoo.com, mewomoot@unaab.edu.ng*

Gbenga Akinbo
Department of Mathematics,
Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile - Ife, Nigeria.
email: *agnebg@yahoo.co.uk*